IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.654 OF 2007

Mrs.Charu K. Mehta ..Petitioner
V/s.
The Assistant Charity Commissioner & Ors...Respondents

Mr.Arif Y. Bookwala, Senior Counsel with Mr.D.J.Kakdia i/b Mulla & Mulla & C. B. & C. for the Petitioner.

Mr.Janak Dwarkadas, Senior Counsel i/b Mr.Nankani for Respondent No.2.

Mr.D.D. Madon, Senior Counsel i/b Mr.M.G. Gawdi for Respondent Nos.3 and 6.

Mr.Pravin Samdhani, Senior Counsel i/b Mr.M.K. Banatwala for Respondent No.5.

CORAM : S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.
DATED : 25TH JANUARY, 2007.

P.C. :

Mentioned, not on board.

2. Rule. Rule returnable and heard forthwith.

3. The application is pre-mature. The Petition is filed under a misconception regarding the purport of the order. The impugned order merely allowed Exhibit-6. By Exhibit-6, Respondent No.4 made an application that the change report filed by the

Reporting Trustee be heard and decided first before the other change reports relating to the trust pending before the Charity Commissioner.

4. All counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents agree that the impugned order does not imply that the application for condonation of delay in taking out the change report has been allowed. Needless to add that the application for condonation of delay will be decided before the change report itself.

5. The learned Assistant Charity Commissioner is requested to dispose of the application as expeditiously as possible.

6. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.